

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/021,016	10/021,016 12/19/2001		Alexandre Drobychev	80168-0239	8774		
32658	7590	08/09/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
HOGAN & HARTSON LLP				VO, T	VO, TED T		
ONE TABO 1200 SEVE		ER, SUITE 1500 T.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
DENVER,	DENVER, CO 80202				2192		
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Advisory Action
Before	the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/021,016	DROBYCHEV ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ted T. Vo	2192	

	LAMININE	Altonic				
	Ted T. Vo	2192				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 20 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N- (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	on the same day as filing a Notice of pwing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
· · = · · · · · · · <del></del>	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no					
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	7).		•			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
<del></del>	aliamas with 27 CED 44 27 must be	s filed within two mean	the of the date			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal (	of the appeal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	hecause			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			2004400			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);	••				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.				
NOTE: The proposed amendment does not mate	-	-	ns in Claims 1,			
10, and 21 would require further consideration. (S	ee 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			•			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16,18-26 and 29-32</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	nils to provide a (1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
13. Other:		TED TO VE	2			
	•	Para L	ם א א אאואר <i>פ</i>			
		TANKELLE IN THE				

W